

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/885,418	06/21/2001	Jing Lu Gu		4222	
75	90 10/09/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Roger Pitkin			DUDDING, ALFRED E		
MediaBop Corporation 46560 Fremont Blvd Unit 117			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fremont, CA 94538			2853		
	•	·	DATE MAILED: 10/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,418	06/21/2001		Jing Lu Gu	4222	
7.	590	08/28/2003	,		
ROGER PITKIN			EXAMINER DUDDING, ALFRED E		
45275 NORTHPORT CT FREMONT, CA 94538					
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2853	
				DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/885,418	GU, JING LU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alfred E. Dudding	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  rs will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.	<u>June 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alaction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 2001</u> is/are: a		the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li> </ol>	ts have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li></ol>	ts have been received in Applicat	tion No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application has been re	ceived.				
Attachment(s)	p					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/885,418

Art Unit: 2853

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Specification

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37
 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

#### Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Figure 4, reference character 00'is not mentioned in the specification. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 6, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 6, 8, and 9 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.



Art Unit: 2853

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claims 1 and 8 cite processes, however no method steps are cited.

- **6.** a. Claim 6 provides for the use of "thinner lens material", but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.
- b. Claim 9 provides for the use of "white lens coating material", but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

7. Claims 2-4, 7, and 10-19 are rejected as being dependent on rejected independent claims 1, 6, 8, and 9.



Art Unit: 2853

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Pilu (U.S. 6,490,993 B2).

Pilu discloses a printing process whereby lenticular lenses, Figure 2 (element 11), can be printed upon directly with a variety of low cost color inkjet printers 600 DPI and above, Column 3, lines 28 – 51.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- a. Torigoe et al. (U.S. 5,812,152 A) discloses printing on lenticular material and that printing can be performed along the length of the lenticular lens (Figure 9) or can be printed transverse to the lenticular lens length (Figure 2). Torigoe et al. fail to teach the claimed invention of 600 Dpi resolution.

Art Unit: 2853

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Dudding whose telephone number is (703) 308-6082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Meier, AU 2853, can be reached at (703) 308-4896. The fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 305-3432, (703) 305-3431, (703) 308-7382, (703) 308-

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

7724, and (703) 308-7722. The examiner's fax phone number is (703) 746-4390.

Stephen D. Meier Primary Examiner

Alfred Dudding

M

8-15-03